

**SPECIAL MEETING  
BOARD OF MAYOR AND ALDERMEN  
(PUBLIC HEARING – REZONINGS)**

**June 4, 2007**

**6:30 PM**

Mayor Guinta called the meeting to order.

The Clerk called the roll. There were twelve Aldermen present.

Present: Aldermen Roy, Gatsas, Long, Osborne, Pinard, O’Neil, Lopez, Shea,  
DeVries, Garrity, Smith, Thibault

Absent: Aldermen Duval, Forest

Mayor Guinta advised the purpose of the special meeting is to hear those wishing to speak in favor of or in opposition to proposed Zoning Ordinances; that the Clerk will present the proposed Zoning Ordinance changes for discussion at which time those wishing to speak in favor will be heard, followed by those wishing to speak in opposition; that anyone wishing to speak must first step to the nearest microphone when recognized and recite his/her name and address in a clear, loud voice for the record, that each person will be given only one opportunity to speak; and any questions must be directed to the Chair.

**4.** The Clerk presented the first proposed Zoning Ordinance change:

“Amending the Zoning Ordinance of the City of Manchester by extending the Neighborhood Business District (B-1) into an area currently zoned Residential Two Family District (R-2), including two lots, Tax Map 325, Lots 18 and 18A with addresses of 316 and 322 South Main Street and abutting Goffe Street. The intent being that the entirety of these two lots would be in the B-1 District.”

Mayor Guinta requested Robert MacKenzie, Director of Planning, make a presentation.

Mr. MacKenzie stated to orient you this building here is actually where Blake’s Restaurant is. So this area which includes part of the Blake’s Restaurant and a gas station and the Blake’s facility is zoned B-1 (Neighborhood Business). The two parcels under discussion are there two parcels again at the corner of South Main and Goffe Streets. They’re both owned by the same property owner, they’re currently zoned Residential which is R-2 in that area which allows one and two-family homes. The request is to extend this B-1 Neighborhood district to include these two lots. The Planning Board has reviewed these three zoning requests and in this particular case they did not have any significant issues with the zoning extension. The only primary comment they have was that the City at some point should look at rezoning all of these properties down to...this is the former Sully’s site now it’s going to be a CVS Pharmacy. The Planning Board felt that at some point not necessarily

right now the City should look at rezoning all of these properties to a Neighborhood Business. Probably half...at least half of these properties are business even though they're located in the R-2 district. I'd be happy to answer any quick questions of the Board.

Alderman Roy stated again it seems like we're doing a mish mash of a couple of lots abutting a B-2 zone or a B-1 zone when people have gone in first a variance after a variance year-after-year and established a number of businesses in that corridor. It seems that this Board should be putting in and the Planning Board should be going ahead and looking at that whole South Main section and would there be and I guess we'll get to it and I'll ask people here if they're here to speak on this area would there be opposition to that. Have you heard of any opposition to that?

Mr. MacKenzie replied I haven't heard any specification opposition. I know I had spoken with the Chairman of the Board at one point about perhaps looking at this entire corridor but again it might be unfair for this particular property owner if his land should be rezoned properly to Neighborhood Business because it could take a while because technically we should contact all of those property owners and see what their interests would be and if one or two don't want to be rezoned that can make it more difficult. There should be a more comprehensive study right along this section of South Main as to the future zoning though.

Alderman Roy stated I would ask and it's not going to delay my vote on this parcel but I would ask that possibly someone in your department start that process and look at that. We're always talking about creating tax base and like many of our busier streets residential backing out onto that street or driving out onto that street is not necessarily the highest and best use and so I would look to know what the property owners in that area are looking to do not only now but in the future. Thank you, your Honor.

Alderman O'Neil stated the dentist office is currently operating under a variance.

Mr. MacKenzie stated yes.

Alderman O'Neil asked are there any current building issues there?

Mr. MacKenzie replied I know after a brief discussion that there have been some Building Department issues related to zoning and changes made. I think that's perhaps one of the reasons why the applicant would like to change the zoning if it should be Business anyway. So, yes, I believe there were some building issues. I don't know if there are any currently outstanding now.

Alderman O'Neil stated and to the best of your knowledge this would correct any possible violations.

Mr. MacKenzie replied yes.

Mayor Guinta called for those wishing to speak in favor. There were none.

Mayor Guinta called for those wishing to speak in opposition. There were none.

8. The Clerk presented the second proposed Zoning Ordinance change:

“Amending the Zoning Ordinance of the City of Manchester by extending the General Business District (B-2) into an area currently zoned Urban Multifamily District (R-3), being a portion of Tax Map 315, Lot 8 with an address of 116 South Main Street and abutting Walker Street. A majority of the property is currently zoned B-2 and the petition would extend the B-2 to include the entire lot.”

Mayor Guinta requested Robert MacKenzie, Director of Planning, make a presentation.

Mr. MacKenzie stated as indicated by the Clerk this is a property...Dave's Auto...currently used for business on South Main Street. It also abuts the Piscataquog Trail you can see this long extension here. The trail is built from here out towards the proposed bridge and will be built at some point in the near future in this westerly direction. The request is to rezone this back property. You can see this is all part of the existing lot but the zoning line cuts through so the property owner Dave's Auto has a property with two zoning districts B-2 and R-3 and so he is requesting that the rear of his property be also zoned B-2. This area along South Main Street is all B-2 and this is a residential area in behind. The Planning Board reviewed this, they understood that it was a split zone. I think their primary concern on this though was to ensure that there's no impact on the residential abutters. These two property right here are residential on Walker Street and they did suggest that if the Board were to rezone this property that they also refer the applicant back to the Planning Board to look at options for screening, fencing or buffering to ensure there's minimum impact on those residential abutters.

Alderman O'Neil asked Bob is there any condition that we could put if this rezoning was granted regarding parking on the trail which has been an on going issue?

Mr. MacKenzie replied it has been an on going issue although I think it's been corrected as of late and that has not been an issue. The City actually sold a portion of the trail area to this particular owner and I think that has created a working relationship where it hasn't happened in the past. So, I'm not sure if that's an attachment to a zoning issue but we could certainly look at that with the City Solicitor.

Alderman Lopez deferred to Alderman Smith.

Alderman Smith stated in regard to Alderman O'Neil's comments we were trying to get the railway across and we ran into difficulties and this was sort of an agreement between the

parties concerned. So I want everybody to know it so he could get the cars off the railway so we could proceed on and we're trying to get involved with Tires, Inc. so this is a movement that will benefit the City and the railway and it is the reason why this agreement has been made.

Alderman Lopez stated the only added comment is that Alderman Thibault, Alderman Smith and myself have been working on this for about three years and finally got the owner's to concede to the agreement with Parks and Recreation.

Alderman DeVries stated the rest of the homes on Walker Street between Walker and Fourth are not residential it's just the two.

Mr. MacKenzie stated the ones further down that's also residential but you can see it's further from the property lines. I know that this property right here actually has a business in the back so it's not 100% residential there is some business use in that lot so it's primarily those two buildings.

Mayor Guinta called for those wishing to speak in favor.

Raymond Shea, Sanford Surveying and Engineering I'm representing Mr. David Larivee who is in the audience tonight who has requested the zone change. Mr. Larivee's operated his business here for about 17 years and he would like to stay on this property. The purpose of this change is to facilitate modernization and upgrading of his property, his buildings. Again, he's been there for quite a while...the buildings are old and he's trying to make his business more efficient and in the past we've met with the Building Department and Planning Department regarding issues on the property and upgrading the property and it was the general consensus that going forward with any improvements it would be greatly eased if the zone line was moved so we could work all in a business zone. So, that's the main reason for the request tonight.

Dennis Gregoire, 170 Walker Street, Manchester, NH. Did not speak.

Glen Newel, 146 Walker Street, Manchester, NH. Did not speak.

Mayor Guinta called for those wishing to speak in opposition. There was none.

**12.** The Clerk presented the third proposed Zoning Ordinance change:

“Amending the Zoning Ordinance of the City of Manchester by extending the General Business District (B-2) into an area currently zoned Residential One Family District (R-1B), being a portion of Tax Map 381, Lot 47 with an address of 466 South Willow Street and abutting South Lincoln, South Willow and Parkview Streets. A majority of the property is currently zoned B-2 and the petition would extend the B-2 to include the entire lot.”

Mayor Guinta requested Robert MacKenzie, Director of Planning, make a presentation.

Mr. MacKenzie stated the third and final rezoning request tonight is property located at the corner of South Willow Street and Parkview Street. It is 466 South Willow Street...Autotorium. Some may remember it used to be Nixon Auto as I remember. You can see that the property here extends up Parkview Street a ways but the zoning line runs at an angle parallel to South Willow Street through the property. So technically this portion of the property which is zoned Residential R-1B cannot be used for uses that are normally associated with Autotorium. This has been a situation as I understand it for a number of years. The auto sales at this site date probably back to the 1960's. Again, the Planning Board did review this. They recognize that it's a split zone and similarly because there are residential abutters both on the north, the east and one owner to the south that if the Board did rezone this they would suggest that the owner bring in a site plan to look at screening methods to better protect the residential area. I did notice that the applicant does have a screening plan in the package as suggested but again the Planning Board perhaps would like to go over that in detail if the Board were to approve this rezoning change and the applicant wanted to expand.

Alderman Garrity asked Bob are there cars currently parked on that portion that's R-1B?

Mr. MacKenzie replied I did see cars parked there.

Alderman Garrity asked is that allowable?

Mr. MacKenzie replied they didn't appear to be "for sale" cars. I don't know if they're older cars that were stored there. I would have to check with the Building Commissioner to see if that was...I don't believe that's allowed if it's beyond a certain number of cars.

Alderman Garrity stated the neighbors are telling me that's been going on for quite a period of time and there are a lot of them here that are going to speak in opposition to it.

Mayor Guinta called for those wishing to speak in favor.

Victoria Engheben, 24 Doris Street, Manchester, NH, stated:

I don't see any harm in the vacant land that Nixon owns being kept vacant. He wants this for the use of storage of his cars that he has to sell and I don't see why that would hurt any of the neighborhood. So, I'm in favor of this. Many years ago he was going to do a lot of enhancements and the abutters shot it down and I think that would have been a good thing. So, anyway I'm in favor of it very much. He needs the space to park cars.

Jim Craig, 84 Bay Street, Manchester, NH, stated:

I don't have much to add with what you've already heard but I just want to say there was a question about the use and I have Greg Marino who owns Autotorium with leasing the property and Teddy Nixon the owner who's owned that property since 1955 I think...they're here and they can answer some questions but I can say that they'd be happy if you saw fit to do that to send it to the Planning Board to work with the buffering and the neighbors to make it the best looking place that they can but as I understand it cars are parked in the back because you can't do anything else with it but some of the employees park their cars in the back. If there are any other questions they're available to answer.

Alderman DeVries stated Jim we heard mention of a screening plan that was developed or will be developed for Planning Board do you have that with you tonight and can you speak to it or at least share it with us?

Mr. Craig stated this is a plan that was developed some time ago and I wasn't prepared to speak to it. I think it was attached to the proposal that I sent in.

Alderman DeVries asked are there any enhancements proposed for the property that have not been done today that just because there are constituents here that have concerns it would be useful if there are going to be further buffers adding onto their property to protect residential properties if that was discussed before they speak?

Mr. Craig replied I'm not sure what you mean by an enhancement.

Alderman DeVries stated anything to protect their view, a buffer, a visual buffer between the proposed property use and their residential property. Is there anybody that could speak to anything that has not yet been completed that is planned for the property...any trees. I'm not trying to put you on the spot I just heard about the plan that was given to the Planning Board and thought that might be something worthwhile.

Alderman O'Neil stated clarification on that issue before he speaks. I guess if I have the right plan in front of me that Attorney Craig referenced I don't know if we all have it but I have one stapled to my package it shows a 10 foot wide buffer, 6 foot high...some type of tree...I'm not an arborist or anything that would go the entire east side of the property, a portion of Parkview...I just want to make sure we're talking about the same thing. Is that what's being referenced to here?

Alderman DeVries replied absolutely. Thank you and I see that and just to reiterate what Alderman O'Neil just said you are proposing a 2 deep planting of 6 foot arborvitaes that will basically encircle half the rear...easterly and northerly portion of the lot so of the proposed rezoning as it affects Parkview you are splitting the property frontage there and the property

that is further up Parkview further to the east half of that is going to have the visual buffer put along that line, the street line along the entire sideline of the parcel into the rear for the same dimensions as it would be on Parkview...and none of that is in place today?

Mr. Greg Marino stated I'm the owner or Autotorium. Along the side that's abutting the rear there is a buffer that's like 100 feet high...at least 60 or 70 feet high of all trees and you can't see anything on that side in reference to homes, any visual. So anybody who's on that side there's no visual whatsoever to the lot. Along Parkview Street there's absolutely no buffer and this area that has been zoned R-2 has grown in with a lot of weeds and growth and run down sort of. So what I had proposed is to put a buffer along Parkview at the maximum height that we can put in where it's still allowed to be put in...I'll put it as high as I can to kind of zone that area off visually from even what we have now going on where you can see and to clean up the area around there so it's not all overgrown, just clean it up a little bit and create as much of a buffer as you would like to see to make it look better because right now it just doesn't have a good appearance to it whatsoever.

Alderman DeVries stated I believe the light poles referenced on the plan...those are already in place so you're not proposing any new lighting within the parking.

Mr. Marino stated no I'm not looking to expand the property at all. I'm just looking to clean it up and make it a nicer appearance and the way it's cut doesn't make a lot of sense.

Alderman Roy stated thank you for being here to answer questions. On the plan...unfortunately I've got mine on the computer and I'm not sure it's the same plan Alderman O'Neil has...it shows a 50 foot approximate line but only the 10 foot section of arborvitae plantings...do you have the same plan I do?

Mr. Marino replied yes.

Alderman Roy stated that 50 foot section where it says nothing but snow storage in the center and then it has the indication where the existing shed on blocks is. What is the plan for planting of that area or is that going to be a paved section.

Mr. Marino stated where it says snow storage.

Alderman Roy stated yes.

Mr. Marino stated my thought was maybe to do somewhat of an employee parking area and then also use it for snow storage in the winter. Right now it's been very difficult for employees to park, there's been a lot of issues with employee's parking along the street and it's been a little tight to try and have a business in that area. So I was thinking maybe

somewhat of an employee parking a little bit for some cars and that's really about it...paving it out, making it paved instead of weeds and that type of thing.

Alderman Roy stated your 10 foot what you describe as the landscaping buffer it shows arborvitaes on the three sides Parkview the easterly side and then the south side would that be cleaning up around the existing trees that are there and added or are you just going to stay with what you described as a 65 foot buffer of trees that are currently there.

Mr. Marino stated whatever you guys or the Planning Board would see fit I would be happy to do because right now it's got such a huge screening there that to put in additional doesn't make sense but if it's something they'd like to see I'd be happy to do it.

Alderman Roy stated as Mr. MacKenzie suggested going back to Planning to work on the buffer and just from the plan standpoint label what surfaces are going to be there and what type of foliage and also in the proposed plan have a landscape architect put in the existing trees that would stay. Personally seeing what this property looks like now or before and seeing this plan if I was an abutter it would scare me because it looks like you're taking down all existing foliage. So I'm glad that you've said you're going to keep it and add to it to enhance but I would like the Planning Board to have a final say on that.

Mr. Marino stated absolutely.

Alderman Garrity asked Mr. Marino do you have cars currently parked on the r-1B zone for sale?

Mr. Marino replied no none of the cars that are in that area are really available they're a combination of employee parking that I try to clean out and then if we trade some cars over the weekend they'll end up there and we'll end up moving them to another location.

Alderman Garrity asked how many cars do you currently have on the R-1B portion?

Mr. Marino replied I couldn't answer that 100% right now.

Alderman Garrity asked on a daily average is it 40, is it 50, is it 10?

Mr. Marino stated no it may be 10...I don't think it's more than 10.

Alderman Long stated I'm not sure if the BMA has the authority to require him to go to the Planning Board but if that wasn't in our purview would you have a problem with going to the Planning Board to have the Planning Board overlook your buffer area with respect to the residential issues? Would you have a problem with that?

Mr. Marino replied no.

Alderman Long stated I'm not sure but it may have to go to the Planning Board with respect to adding a parking lot so maybe it could be addressed then but you're saying you wouldn't have a problem with letting the Planning Board help.

Mr. Marino stated when we originally brought this plan to them we actually I think we pretty much got their approval on it and then it never got okayed originally and that's the last. We went through this whole process and we got the Planning Board to agree. I sat down with them, discussed it, showed it to them and they helped me to come up with this concept and then it never really came to any conclusion.

Alderman Long stated that would be with the Planning Department...the Planning board would approve it or not.

Karen Stewart 476 South Willow Street, Manchester, NH, stated:

I'm representing my uncle Theodore Katirakis and my cousin Elaine Roloff who are unable to be here. They live on, they own 476 South Willow which abuts it as you're facing the subject property to the right on Doris Street...their address is on South Willow but they do have the doors/driveway...that's been the family homestead since the beginning of the 1900's. They wish to state that they have no objection to the rezoning of Mr. Nixon's property...it's the right thing to do...all of South Willow should be rezoned commercial so they support that. They also support the appropriate buffer and screening to be implemented and I think that's the major issue, which I have heard amongst you all. We have just seen this buffer plan...they do request that they be part of the discussion in regard to what that buffer should be and as an explanation my uncle's houseprint/footprint is very close to the subject property and there's a scraggly fence and I don't know about high trees but you can see into the property. My uncle is an older fellow and he likes to sit out and enjoy his property and then you have the cars backing up and obviously emitting fumes, exhaust fumes, etc. and the debris that accumulates there perhaps hasn't been maintained as possible. Mr. Nixon has been a good neighbor and so hasn't my uncle been but they would like to be part of this buffer and I wasn't clearly sure of where the east was and where all of these trees are so maybe at some point...maybe not in the forum but at some point that my uncle and my cousin be part of this and be explained at to what will happen because you do need to address that. It's visual, you can see Mr. Nixon's property and the cars they back into it. There are cars that are parked there...for what reason I don't know but we're not disputing that fact we're just requesting that they be part of this buffer. Thank you.

Mayor Guinta called for those wishing to speak in opposition.

Kathy Provencher, 42 Parkview Street, Manchester, NH, stated:

Good evening Mayor and Aldermanic Board. I'm here tonight hoping you will vote no on rezoning this parcel of land. They've been talking about how they're going to improve that parcel of land. It's hard for us to believe that because over 30 years ago when this was a nice residential area Mr. Nixon got his variance to make that plot commercial that is commercial now. One neighbor was informed...that neighbor never told anybody else on that street, therefore, his variance went through and Mr. Nixon moved his house in the middle of the night. So most of the people on Parkview Street was unaware that that was happening. Autotorium is the car lot that is in there now and they have improved somewhat...there have been other establishments in the past. Although they continue to park on this piece of residential property I've talked to Mrs. DeVries and Mr. Garrity about this. At one point an environmental group approached them because their lights were so bright...actually they shined into my son's bedroom and they did accommodate to a degree and changed some of the lighting and the environmental group had to come back again because they did not fix all of the lights. We have enough traffic going up and down that street. We have cars parked in the street, people turning around in our driveways, trucks unloading cars late at night. I talked about the lights that shine in our windows and at times you can smell...it filters through...the smell of spray paint which is great on a summer day and in the past we've had many cars speeding up and down the street. I'm not saying that is their...it is out of their control...to take it to a car lot to take a car to try it out but having a car lot there that presents the problem. So, again, I reiterate and I'm hoping that you will vote no on this rezoning and if it is zoned commercial I hope they do have a visual buffer so I don't have to look across the street and see what a mess it is right now. It's terrible, it looks awful and I have to look at that every single day. Whether they are rezoned or not I really think that they should do something with that parcel of land because it's visually terrible for lack of a better word. Thank you very much for listening.

Jennifer Jones, 41 Parkview Street, Manchester, NH, stated:

I am the neighbor to the right of Autotorium...is there a way we could get the thing back up there...this is my property and this is what they want to expand. As you can see this will bring them directly up against my property. Currently, we already have noise at night, we have lights in our backyard and you can see our pool...everything. At eleven o'clock at night they're unloading cars. I have a child who's in 5<sup>th</sup> grade...all we can hear is beeping and people talking and it would just be wrong. I don't know what I can say but there needs to be a buffer and it needs to be this big because already it is intruding on the lives of everybody who's on my street.

Richard Lamy, 88 Parkview Street, Manchester, NH, stated:

I moved there in 1960. I'm against this proposal to give Autotorium any more land that they've already got. If they don't have enough I would probably recommend that they move somewhere else. That is a residential neighborhood and there never should have been a car lot to begin with. My four children are grown up and moved but today we have a whole new generation on the street with a lot of youngsters and cars go speeding up and down that street

all the time and down at the bottom where the used car lot is it is practically impossible for two cars to pass each other at the bottom of the hill because of the parking that they have and the people that come and look for cars they're all parked halfway in the street and everywhere else and you couldn't pass two cars there. You certainly couldn't get a fire engine through there I can tell you that...maybe not even an ambulance. We've had several calls for ambulances I the last year or so on Parkview Street...the gentleman who runs Autotorium said it himself...there's no room...there is no room there and quite frankly I don't think they belong there either.

Paul Yergeau, Parkview Street, Manchester, NH, stated:

I've lived on Parkview Street since 1959. I moved there because it was a residential area. One morning I went to work come to find out it turned out to be commercial...somebody sold the house there overnight. Since then it's been nothing but problems. We've been to these meetings before...the Planning Board straightened them out...allowed them the owner after showing us a proposed plan at the time Alderman Pariseau...I'm talking about Mr. Nixon...he showed us a plan and said look how beautiful they are...he showed us a big 8 foot cedar fence, how well they're taking care of the property...they didn't tell you it was the neighbors fence they were showing you...they said their fence. Now, you go around and try to go up...I believe they allowed them 50 feet I think commercial on Parkview the rest is residential not commercial. At the time they had these big wine barrels for flower pots and that was to be the separating line from the commercial and the residential...no problem...we need more room we move the barrels. So at one time they had 2' pipes in the ground with chains...now the pipes are gone, the barrels are gone so where do they park their cars...there should be a sidewalk on both sides of the streets the fact that it's not paved and there's no curbstone it does not mean that it is not a sidewalk. You go up Parkview Street, take a right and all the cars are parked diagonally on the sidewalk...what does that tell you...it's congested, there's no room. Are they allowed to park on the sidewalk? Are they allowed to move the chain at their convenience? I know if I went with my neighbors lot I can't tear down my fence and go on his land...so that's the situation...go by there no cars...all of a sudden there's a circus tent in that section. As a matter of fact once the City fixed the Willow Street area the very same day there's a brand new jeep out there on display for sale. I called up and say...oh, the guy just made a U-turn he's parking it up there. So, it's been known you give these car dealers an inch and they'll take a yard. As far as I'm concerned the lot is too small for a large dealership. So unless you call him...who do you call the Police Department...going to call a plumbing inspector to straighten that out...that's not my department that's the Building Department, I'm too busy I ain't got time or you talk to somebody oh yeah we're working on it. What I'm saying is the place is too small. If they allow this like they were supposed to be putting in a curb, putting in a sidewalk, we're going to put trees, they we're going to improve the whole lot. From what I'm told Mr. Nixon doesn't plan on doing anything. He's renting the land...do what you want. So it's going to turn into a junkyard. Thank you.

Lorette Leafe, 80 Parkview Street, Manchester, NH, stated:

I do not believe that their intentions are good. If they wanted to clean that lot it is not necessary to change the zoning all they have to do is take a rake, clean it up. The cars that are parked there have no license plates so we're assuming these are trade-ins that are being parked there which they shouldn't be. Once they get permission to pave the sky's the limit because they now have their zoning. It's a residential area...there's a mess over there...nobody cares. The other two women that spoke I respect them for that but one of them is to the left...it does not impact her. The cars are not on her street. She doesn't see the garbage, she's to the left and the other woman doesn't even abut...we do. When we go down the street we have a hard time getting off our stop sign...you're lucky if you make it in two times that light turning. We can't even turn right because when the beauty parlor is open you can't take a right the cars are coming down South Lincoln...they don't stay on their side of the road they're going to be turning left onto Willow so they're in the middle of the road...we're really stuck. This would be the last insult if they are allowed to pave...start parking there and I'll tell you the parking lot for the cars is going to expand...how do you stop that once they have their variance. It's a good question. I hope you really give it some consideration, you are ruining our neighborhood if you allow it to go. These young children don't ride their bikes anymore because of the traffic because of the new intersection and because of the car lot. It's ridiculous. Thank you for your time.

A gentlemen made an inquiry of a particular area.

Mr. MacKenzie replied that's actually on South Lincoln, 264 South Lincoln I believe and Parkview.

Mayor Guinta advised that all wishing to speak having been heard, the testimony presented will be referred to the Committee on Bills on Second reading to be taken under advisement with reports to be made to the Board of Mayor and Aldermen at a later dated.

This being a special meeting of the Board, no further business was presented and on motion of Alderman Pinard, duly seconded by Alderman Thibault, it was voted to adjourn.

A True Record. Attest.

City Clerk